



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6993
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRACTION AND ANALYSIS OF CHEMO-PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF YELLOW OLEANDER OIL AS LUBRICANT
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract well written and procedures observed. The introduction and body have some grammatical errors that require corrections. Results were given and discussed in a logical manner, though there were instances that units are assigned inappropriately. The conclusion was logically made and the referencing style of the article in general is relatively inconsistent. My comments and suggestions are actually given throughout the manuscript.	I agree with observations made by the reviewer. Grammatical errors have been corrected while units were harmonised. References intext and listed have been harmonized and comments by the reviewer attended to. ASTM procedures are not described fully as requested since they are published data except where there is some modification. All corrections made have been highlighted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		